



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/091,070	03/04/2002		Anders Vinberg	28280.04002	8004
5073	7590	01/05/2006		EXAMINER	
BAKER BO	OTTS L.I	L.P.	WINDER, PATRICE L		
2001 ROSS SUITE 600	AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS, T	TX 75201	1-2980	2145		
				DATE MAR ED 01/05/000	

DATE MAILED: 01/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/091,070	VINBERG, ANDERS
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Patrice Winder	2145
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Anyr	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 O</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
Applicati	on Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413)
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2145

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-18 are pending.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 9-14, 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Smith et al., USPN 5,941,996 (hereafter referred to as Smith).
- 4. Regarding claim 1, Smith taught a method for reporting an alert condition (column 2, lines 45-48), comprising:

defining alert filter criteria (column 5, lines 53-67);

identifying an alert condition (column 5, lines 8-20);

analyzing one or more properties of the alert condition based on the alert filter criteria, wherein the alert filter criteria comprise:

an urgency level associated with the alert condition (column 5, lines 53-61); and a severity level associated with the alert condition (column 5, lines 43-52);

Art Unit: 2145

determining whether to report the alert condition, there determination based at least on part on the analysis of the one or more properties of the alert condition (column 6, lines 13-18); and

selectively reporting the alert condition (column 5, lines 18-26).

5. Regarding dependent claim 2, Smith taught the alert condition is associated with an object (column 3, lines 56-61); and

the alert criteria further comprises an importance level associated with the object (column 5, lines 10-13).

- 6. Regarding dependent claim 3, Smith taught identifying an alert condition comprises identifying a potential alert condition (column 5, lines 27-36).
- 7. Regarding dependent claim 4, Smith taught the alert filter criteria further comprises a level of risk associated with a potential alert condition (column 5, lines 43-52).
- 8. Regarding dependent claim 5, Smith taught a method further comprising analyzing a property representing an interest group associated with the alert condition (column 3, lines 56-58, column 4, line 62–column 5, line 7).
- 9. Regarding dependent claim 6, Smith taught a method further comprising analyzing a property of representing a business process associated with the alert condition (column 1, line 20-28).

Art Unit: 2145

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 7-8, 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith in view of Xia, USPN 6,154,849 (hereafter referred to as Xia).
- 12. Regarding dependent claims 7-8, Smith taught identifying an alert condition includes:

identifying a first object representing a first system component associated with the alert condition (column 3, lines 56-58, column 5, lines 37-42). Smith does not specifically teach a second system component having a dependency relationship to the first system component. However, Xia taught identifying a first object representing a first system component associated with the alert condition (column 4, lines 13-19, 29-33), identifying a second object representing a second system component having a dependency [containment] relationship to the first system component (column 4, lines 29-33)., and propagating a property of the second object to the first object (column 9, lines 46-54). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that incorporating Xia's dependency relationships in Smith's system for filtering events would have allowed greater flexibility in diagnosing and handling resource failures. The motivation would have been to provide a network policy that includes considering dependencies in event handling.

Art Unit: 2145

13. The language of claims 9-18 is substantially the same as previously rejected claim 1-8, above. Therefore, claims 9-18 are rejected on the same rationale as previously rejected claims 1-8, above.

#### Conclusion

14. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrice Winder whose telephone number is 571-272-3935. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:30 am-7:00 pm.

Art Unit: 2145

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Cardone can be reached on 571-272-3933. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patrice Winder Primary Examiner Art Unit 2145

December 30, 2005